

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/668,855	09/23/2003	Torsten Niederdrank	P03,0381 3145		
<sup>26574</sup> SCHIFF HAR	7590 03/12/200 DIN, LLP	·	EXAMINER LAO, LUN S		
PATENT DEF	PARTMENT				
6600 SEARS ' CHICAGO, II		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MG	ONTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	-	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/668,855	,	NIEDERDRANK ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit	•	
	-	Lun-See Lao		2615		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	t with the co	rrespondence add	dress	
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	INICATION. y a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ly filed ne mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status	<u>-</u>		·			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Set This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	- •		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abelian is required if the drawing in the draw	yance. See ing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N				

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Introduction

1 This action is IN response to the APPLICATION 10/668,855 filed on 09-23-2003. Claims 1-14 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claimed limitation "the estimation device is configured to detect a first signal portion and a second signal portion from the input signal, to generate an estimated signal for the second signal portion utilizing a model from the first signal portion, and to determine an estimated value from a difference of the estimated signal and the second signal portion" was not clearly supported in the further detail in the specification nor in any claim originary presented, a model from the signal portion pointed out by applicant is not enough support for this claim limitation ( see specification page 5 [0018]-page 6[0019] and see figs. 2-6).

Art Unit: 2615

Consider claims 9-11 are essentially similar to claims 2-4 and are rejected for the reason state above apropos to claims 3-4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-6 and 8, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williamson et al. (US PAT. 5,091,952).

Consider claim 1 Williamson teaches a device (see fig.6) for feedback compensation in hearing devices, comprising:

a signal input device (300) configured to acquire an input signal that is influenced by a feedback (acoustic feedback);

a feedback reduction device (+, - sign, (309)) for adjustable reduction, compensation, or damping of the feedback, and

a signal output device (304) configured to output an output signal with a reduced feedback portion; and

an estimation unit (310) that is connected between the signal input device (300) and the feedback reduction device (+ -, sign, 309), and with which an estimated value of a system distance (delay, 308 and see col. 8 line 8 line 6-9) that is defined by a distance of loop gain of the feedback system to Its predetermined stability limit can be

Art Unit: 2615

determined from the input signal, such that parameters of the feedback reduction device are controllable using the estimated value (see col.8 line 1-col. 9 line 36).

Consider claims 5-6 Williamson teaches that the feedback reduction device (see fig.6 (+- sign, 309)) comprises a feedback compensator (see fig.6 and col. 8 line1- 68), and the feedback reduction device (see fig.6 (+,- sign, 309)) comprises an amplification/compression control (see fig.6 and col. 8 line1- 68).

Consider claims 8 and 12-13 are essentially similar to claims 1 and 5-6 and are rejected for the reason state above apropos to claims 1 and 5-6.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williamson et al. (US PAT. 5,091,952) in view of Wagner (US PAT. 4,845,757).

Consider claim 7 Williamson fails to teach that the feedback reduction device comprises at least one oscillation detector and at least one narrow-band filter device to suppress oscillations based on the estimated value.

However, Wagner teaches that the feedback reduction device (see fig.1 (4)) comprises at least one oscillation detector (6) and at least one narrow-band filter device

Art Unit: 2615

(8 and see col.6 line 7-23) to suppress oscillations based on the estimated value (see col. 2 line 66-col. 3 line45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Wagner into Williamson to improve the voice signal.

Consider claim 14 is essentially similar to claim 7 is rejected for the reason state above apropos to claim 7.

#### Conclusion.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hansen (US PAT. 5,619,580) is cited to show other related the feedback compensation for hearing devices with system distance estimation.
- 9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao, Lun-See whose telephone number is (571) 272-7501. The examiner

Art Unit: 2615

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian, can be reached on (571) 272-7848.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Lao,Lun-See しら、 Patent Examiner US Patent and Trademark Office 571-272-7501

Date: 02-28-2007

VIVIAN CHIN

SUPERVISE, IY PATLET EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6